

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

970,015

FORM PTOL-413 (REV.1-96)

1.1.

* • • •			FIRST NAMED APPLICANT	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	
	e spector to			nomena distrej da se estados	
	and the state of the second	the second	The Marinest Control of the Control	and the second s	ుంచి కరిగర్గ గ్
	and the second of	فويطن المراجع	and the second second	EXAMINER	
	and Medical Sales of Medical Architecture (1994) Medical Architecture (1994)	e de la companya de La companya de la co		n ing talah kecili kecili terbah salah salah Salah salah sa	offities ⊗ od o s i ,
	n Markovici i Salahadi Markovici i Salahadi Arabasa da A	e e e	en sum muser vale state of the second	ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	a kita ta ar
	na Bulletia i Spilati, i tri i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i	5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5	in in the property of the company of	TE MAILED: 1 1997 1998 1997 1997	n they are a con-
	en e		VIEW SUMMARY	LE MAILEN. STANKER PARK TO STAN	in extension
	articipants (applicant, applicant's re	nrecentative PTO nercon	nolle en reside de la companya de la	on the first of the property of the first pr	A 32 33 33
	Patricia Duffy CEX		and the second of the second o	(3PS).	***********
	Patria Pabel CAppi.		e trans (4) e se a companya da 200 e se a	erten ingehar ledaherak ai kan ka	i stra
	of Interview 19 Aug 99		Control of Approximate Soft Control of the Property	in the control of the	strate in the
	: Telephonic Personal (co	· ·	nt Applicant's representative).	 In third, fight will set on a city to set on a set of an experience 	la de la compania.
Evhil	bit shown or demonstration conduc	tod: OVoc ONo Ituo	n brief descriptions		
- EXIII	in shown or demonstration conduc-	ted. Tes TNO 11 yes	s, oner description:		
	omant Muse reached Duras	not reached		A 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	- Stanting
Agree	ement was reached. was r	iot reactied.		#NXPF UPDStbore	
Clain	n(s) discussed: Al Pending			ne naski imenasi ili dalam kan	
	ification of prior art discussed:				
F				A A	usa e no de la e - no formación
············Desc	ription of the general nature of wha	at was agreed to if an agrée	ement was reached, or any other con	ments: Discussed what	<u>P</u> arity in
			· •	pact to determining	
A 15 . 524	A participated of the second o			ucation 08/970,045	
		V			
: <u>In</u>	ito this application	· Discussed (_	to expeditive present	trin.
	to this application	.: Discussed i	_	to expeditive proces	trin.
(A ful	fler description, if necessary, and a be attached. Also, where no copy	copy of the amendments,	if available, which the examiner agre	,	trên.
(A ful must	iller description, if necessary, and a be attached. Also, where no copy hed.)	copy of the amendments, of the amendments which	if available, which the examiner agre would render the claims allowable is	ed would render the claims allowable available, a summary thereof must be	tren.
(A ful must attact 1. Unles IS NO action	the description, if necessary, and a be attached. Also, where no copy hed.) It is not necessary for applicant to state paragraph above has been of TWAIVED AND MUST INCLUDE	a copy of the amendments, of the amendments which o provide a separate record checked to indicate to the company the SUBSTANCE OF THE	if available, which the examiner agre would render the claims allowable is I of the substance of the interview. contrary. A FORMAL WRITTEN RESIDE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section	ed would render the claims allowable available, a summary thereof must be	treñ.
(A ful must- attact 1. Unles IS NO action SUBS	Iller description, if necessary, and a be attached. Also, where no copy hed.) It is not necessary for applicant to stee paragraph above has been of WAIVED AND MUST INCLUDE in has are ready been filed, APPLIC STANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. Since the Examiner's interview surejections and requirements that it	a copy of the amendments, of the amendments which o provide a separate record checked to indicate to the carrier SUBSTANCE OF THE ANT IS GIVEN ONE MON	if available, which the examiner agre would render the claims allowable is of the substance of the interview. contrary. A FORMAL WRITTEN RESIDE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section ITH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE only attachments) reflects a complete roffice action, and since the claims are	eed would render the claims allowable available, a summary thereof must be PONSE TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION 713.04). If a response to the last Office TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE	then.

Manual of Patent Examining Procedure, Section 713.04 Substance of Interview must Be Made of Record

A complete written statement as to the substance of <u>any</u> face to face or telephone <u>interview</u> with regard to an application <u>must be made of record in the application</u>, whether or not an agreement with the examiner was reached at the interview.

§1.133 Interviews

(b) In every instance where reconsideration is requested in view of an interview with an examiner, a complete written statement of the reasons presented at the interview as warranting favorable action must be <u>filed</u> by the applicant. An interview does not remove the necessity for response to Office action as specified in §§ 1.111,1.135. (35 U.S.C.132)

§ 1.2. Business to be transacted in writing. All business with the Patent or Trademark Office should be transacted in writing. The personal attendance of applicants or their attorneys or agents at the Patent and Trademark Office is unnecessary. The action of the Patent and Trademark Office will be based exclusively on the written record in the Office. No attention will be paid to any alleged oral promise, stipulation, or understanding in relation to which there is disagreement or doubt.

The action of the Patent and Trademark Office cannot be based exclusively on the written record in the Office if that record is itself incomplete through the failure to record the substance of interviews.

It is the responsibility of the applicant or the attorney or agent to make the substance of an interview of record in the application file, unless the examiner indicates he or she will do so. It is the examiner's responsibility to see that such a record is made and to correct material inaccuracies which bear directly on the question of patentability.

Examiners must complete a two-sheet carbon interleaf Interview Summary Form for each interview held after January 1, 1978 where a matter of substance has been discussed during the interview by checking the appropriate boxes and filling in the blanks in neat handwritten form using a ball point pen. Discussions regarding only procedural matters, directed solely to restriction requirements for which interview recordation is otherwise provided for in Section 812.01 of the Manual of Patent Examining Procedure, or pointing out typographical errors or unreadable script in Office actions or the like, are excluded from the interview recordation procedures below.

The Interview Summary Form shall be given an appropriate paper number, placed in the right hand portion of the file, and listed on the "Contents" list on the file wrapper. The docket and serial register cards need not be updated to reflect interviews. In a personal interview, the duplicate copy of the Form is removed and given to the applicant (or attorney or agent) at the conclusion of the interview. In the case of a telephonic interview, the copy is mailed to the applicant's correspondence address either with or prior to the next official communication. If additional correspondence from the examiner is not likely before an allowance or if other circumstances dictate, the Form should be mailed promptly after the telephonic interview rather than with the next official communication.

The Form provides for recordation of the following information:

- -Serial Number of the application
- -Name of applicant
- Name of examiner
- Date of interview
- Type of interview (personal or telephonic)
- -Name of participant(s)) (applicant, attorney or agent, etc.)
- -An indication whether or not an exhibit was shown or a demonstration conducted
- -An identification of the claims discussed
- -An identification of the specific prior art discussed.
- -An indication whether an agreement was reached and if so, a description of the general nature of the agreement (may be by attachment of a copy of amendments or claims agreed as being allowable). (Agreements as to allowability are tentative and do not restrict further action by the examiner to the contrary.)
- -The signature of the examiner who conducted the interview
- -Names of other Patent and Trademark Office personnel present.

The Form also contains a statement reminding the applicant of his responsibility to record the substance of the interview.

It is desireable that the examiner orally remind the applicant of his obligation to record the substance of the interview in each case unless both applicant and examiner agrees that the examiner will record same. Where the examiner agrees to record the substance of the interview, or when it is adequately recorded on the Form or in an attachment to the Form, the examiner should check a box at the bottom of the Form informing the applicant that he need not supplement the Form by submitting a separate record of the substance of the interview.

It should be noted, however, that the Interview Summary Form will not normally be considered a complete and proper recordation of the interview unless it includes, or is supplemented by the applicant or the examiner to include, all of the applicable items required below concerning the substance of the interview.

A complete and proper recordation of the substance of any interview should include at least the following applicable items:

- 1) A brief description of the nature of any exhibit shown or any demonstration conducted,
- 2) an identification of the claims discussed,
- 3) an identification of specific prior art discussed,
- an identification of the principal proposed amendments of a substantive nature discussed, unless these are already described on the Interview Summary
 Form completed by the examiner,
- 5) a brief identification of the general thrust of the principal arguments presented to the examiner. The identification of arguments need not be lengthy or elaborate. A verbatim or highly detailed description of the arguments is not required. The identification of the arguments is sufficient if the general nature or thrust of the principal arguments made to the examiner can be understood in the context of the application file. Of course, the applicant may desire to emphasize and fully describe those arguments which he feels were or might be persuasive to the examiner.
- 6) a general indication of any other pertinent matters discussed, and
- 7) if appropriate, the general results or outcome of the interview unless already described in the Interview Stimmary Form completed by the examiner.

Examiners are expected to carefully review the applicant's record of the substance of an interview. If the record is not complete or accurate, the examiner will give the applicant one month from the date of the notifying letter or the remainder of any period for response, whichever is longer, to complete the response and thereby avoid abandonment of the application (37 CFR 1.135(c)).

Examiner to Check for Accuracy

Applicant's summary of what took place at the interview should be carefully checked to determine the accuracy of any argument or statement attributed to the examiner during the interview. If there is an inaccuracy and it bears directly on the question of patentability, it should be pointed out in the next Office letter. If the claims are allowable for other reasons of record, the examiner should send a letter setting forth his or her version of the statement attributed to him. If the record is complete and accurate, the examiner should place the indication "Interview record OK" on the paper recording the substance of the interview along with the date and the examiner's initials.